A study to evaluate accuracy of gestational age, comparing conventional method against duration of intermenstrual interval consideration

Pawanpreet Kaur*, Deepak A. Desai, Aartee Taraiya

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Dhiraj General Hospital, S.B.K.S Medical Institute & Research Centre, Pipariya, Waghodia, Vadodara-391760, Gujarat, India

Received: 24 June 2015
Revised: 20 August 2015
Accepted: 21 August 2015

*Correspondence:
Dr. Pawanpreet Kaur,
E-mail: pavs_candy@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT

Background: Most methods of calculating gestational length are based upon 28 day cycle. If a woman has a cycle which is significantly shorter than 28 days and she delivers before her due date calculated by her LMP, this arises an anticipation of a premature baby, but the fetus is mature by all criteria of maturity assessment. Dr. Modi (Text Book of Medical Jurisprudence) stated, ‘duration of pregnancy in homo homosapiens is 10 times the inter-menstrual interval’. Keeping this in mind we undertook this study.

Methods: The study was done for a duration of 1 year. The gestational age of patients was calculated from the routine Naegle’s formula and inter-menstrual interval. The maturity of neonate was assessed by using Ballard’s score. This data was co-related for further evaluation.

Results: Although 39 (19.5%) neonates were expected to be preterm, 24 (12%) actually turned out to be preterm according to Ballard’s score. Rest 15 neonates, premature by Naegle’s formula, should be in “premature” group, turned out to be well developed, by Ballard’s score, almost 37.5% of early delivery group, (significant at P<0.05)

Conclusions: This showed that the baby attained maturity at a lesser gestational age which corresponded to 10 times the inter-menstrual interval.
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INTRODUCTION

We have it ingrained in our heads throughout our entire adult lives-pregnancy is 40 weeks. The “due date” we are given at that first prenatal visit is based upon that 40 weeks, and we look forward to it with great anticipation.

When we are still pregnant after that magical date, we call ourselves “overdue” and the days seem to drag on like years. The problem with this belief about the 40 week EDD is that it is not based in fact. It is one of many pregnancy and childbirth myths which has wormed its way into the standard of practice over the years something that is still believed because “that’s the way it’s always been done”.

Average duration of pregnancy, is ordinarily the period that elapses between the conception and delivery. Commonly, the date of last menstrual period is considered, to estimate the average duration of pregnancy, and to calculate the approximate time for expected date of delivery. As per Naegle’s formula, nine calendar months and seven days are added to the last menstrual period date. Alternatively, 10 lunar months or 280 days or 40 weeks can be used to calculate the approximate expected date.
The 40 week due date is based upon Naegle’s Rule. The formula was publicized around 1812 by German obstetrician Franz Naegle and since has become the accepted norm for calculating the due date. There is one glaring flaw in Naegle’s rule. Strictly speaking, a lunar (or synodic - from new moon to new moon) month is actually 29.53 days, which makes 10 lunar months roughly 295 days, a full 15 days longer than the 280 days gestation we’ve been lead to believe is average. In fact, if left alone, 50-80% of mothers will gestate beyond 40 weeks.

Aside from the gross miscalculation of the lunar due date, there is another common problem associated with formulating a woman’s EDD: most methods of calculating gestational length are based upon a 28 day cycle. Not all women have a 28 day cycle; some are longer, some are shorter, and even those with a 28 day cycle do not always ovulate right on day 14.

If a woman has a cycle which is significantly shorter than 28 days and she delivers before her due date, as calculated according to her LMP (last menstrual period), this arises an anticipation of a premature baby, but the fetus delivered is mature by all criteria of maturity assessment done by Ballard’s score.

Question that comes to mind is - Why so? and it remains unanswered many times.

The answer was found in Text Book of Medical Jurisprudence by Dr. Modi, wherein he has stated, ‘duration of pregnancy in homin homosapiens is 10 times the inter-menstrual interval’. This statement looks at par with Naegle’s statement that pregnancy duration is 10 lunar months.

The Ballard maturational assessment, Ballard score, or Ballard scale is a commonly used technique of gestational age assessment. It assigns a score to various criteria, the sum of all of which is then extrapolated to the gestational age of the baby. These criteria are divided into physical and neurological criteria. This scoring allows for the estimation of age in the range of 26 weeks-44 weeks. The new Ballard score is an extension of the above to include extremely pre-term babies i.e. up to 20 weeks. The scoring relies on the intra-uterine changes that the fetus undergoes during its maturation. Whereas the neurological criteria depend mainly upon muscle tone, the physical ones rely on anatomical changes.

Sidney H. Weddy described a case in which a woman, aged 30 years, gave birth to a full-time daughter after a gestation of 210 days. The child cried lustily at birth, had a crop of hair, was well coated with vernix caseosa, measured 20 inches in length and weighed seven pounds. The finger and toenails were fully developed and the child sucked vigorously on being put to the breast.

J. K. Mohanty of Angul of the district of Cuttack, reported the case of a Hindu woman aged 36 years, who delivered a male child after a period of gestation of 315 days, reckoned from the first day of her last menstrual period, and nearly 300 days calculated from probably day of ovulation or fertilization. The child was 22 inches long and weighed 9 pounds 6 ounces. The centers of ossification were visible in the upper epiphysis of the tibia and humerus in addition to that in the lower epiphysis of the femur.

Dr. Phillips reported the case of a young unmarried girl in whom gestation lasted 324 days after the cessation of her menstruation and 311 days after the date of coitus.

J. K. Walker, studied that a healthy male child was delivered on the 343rd day after the last date of intercourse.

Tausch Manatschrift observed that a primiparous, 27 years old, was delivered of a well-developed girl on the 343rd day after her last menstrual period. The girl considerably larger than a normal child was 50cm long and weighted 5000 g.

With this idea in mind, to determine the effectively actual duration of pregnancy with regard to fetal maturity, when the patient delivers earlier, we have undertaken this study.

**METHODS**

The study was done in Dhiraj General Hospital in Obstetrics and Gynecology department for a duration of 1 year. It was prospective type of study comprising of patients, who were booked cases and delivered at Dhiraj hospital.

The gestational age of these patients was calculated from the routine Naegle’s formula as per their last menstrual period. Also, their gestational age was estimated based on their inter-menstrual period.

The gestational age when she delivered, was observed. The neonate was examined for the exact gestational age on the day of delivery. And their maturity was assessed by the pediatrician using the Ballard’s score. This available data was co-related for further evaluation.

**Aims of study**

1. To find out the relationship between the inter-menstrual period and the gestational age.
2. To evaluate the fetal maturity according to gestational age calculated by inter menstrual interval.
Calculation of expected date of delivery

A) According to Neagle’s formula:

By adding 9 calendar months and 7 days to the first day of last normal (28 day cycle) period.

B) According to inter-menstrual interval:

10 times the inter-menstrual interval.

C) Calculation of fetal maturity after delivery by Ballard’s score:

Exclusion criteria

1. Patients who had delivered before 28 weeks of gestation.
2. Patients who had irregular menstrual cycle and were not sure of their last menstrual dates.
3. Patients who had a menstrual cycle longer than 32 days and shorter than 22 days.

RESULTS

Amongst 200 pregnant patients, maximum were under the age group of 20-25 years i.e. 108 (54%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution according to age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;26</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amongst 200 patients, 106 (94%) were primigravida and 94 (47 %) were multigravida (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution according to parity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primigravida</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multigravida</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3A: Distribution of number of patients according to last menstrual period (LMP) (n=200).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full term</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre term</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3B: Distribution of number of patients according to inter-menstrual period (IMP) (n=200).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full term</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre term</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3C: Distribution of number of patients according to USG (n=200).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full term</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre term</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The number of expected pre-term neonates according to maternal gestational age: From LMP were: 34 (17%); IMP where: 39 (19.5%); USG where: 43 (21.5%). As the duration of pregnancy according to IMP is less, the pre-term outcome seems to be more.

After Ballard’s scoring of the neonates, 24 (12%) were found to be pre-term and full term 176 (88%) (Table 4).

### Table 5: Distribution of number of patients according to gestational age groups and average duration of menstrual cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average duration of menstrual cycle (Days)</th>
<th>Average gestational age (Weeks)</th>
<th>Expected pre-term or full-term</th>
<th>Number of patients according to IMP (n=200)</th>
<th>Number of patients according to USG (n=200)</th>
<th>Number of patients according to LMP (n=200)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22-24</td>
<td>32-34</td>
<td>Pre-term</td>
<td>13 (6.5%)</td>
<td>15 (7.5%)</td>
<td>8 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-27</td>
<td>35-37</td>
<td>Pre-term</td>
<td>26 (13%)</td>
<td>28 (14%)</td>
<td>26 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-30</td>
<td>38-42</td>
<td>Full-term</td>
<td>161 (80.5%)</td>
<td>157 (78.5%)</td>
<td>166 (83%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average duration of menstrual cycle was taken and the corresponding gestational ages were determined according to inter-menstrual period multiplied by 10, it turned out to be that, the women with menstrual cycle of 25 to 27 days have a term gestation at 35 to 37 weeks. We had 26 such patients i.e. 13% of our sample size (n=200) (Table 5).

Although 39 (19.5 %) neonates were expected to be preterm, only 24 (12 %) actually turned out to be preterm according to Ballard’s Score. This shows that the baby had attained maturity at a lesser gestational age which corresponds to the inter-menstrual period of the women (Table 6).

Although 34 (17%) neonates were expected to be preterm by LMP, only 24 (12%) actually turned out to be preterm according to Ballard’s score (Table 7).

Although 43 (21.5 %) neonates were expected to be preterm by USG, only 24 (12%) actually turned out to be preterm according to Ballard’s score (Table 8).

### Table 6: Comparison of full term & pre-term neonates according to Ballard’s score with intermenstrual interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballard’s score</th>
<th>IMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full-term</td>
<td>Pre-term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

With all the data available, we observe many times, that a woman delivers earlier than her due date, as determined by her E.D.D., and fetus by all criteria of maturity assessment is a well-developed one, and not premature.

As stated in Text Book of Medical Jurisprudence by Dr. Modi, that ‘duration of pregnancy in homo homosapiens is 10 times the inter-menstrual interval’.

The snag of this statement is that it does not explain the achievement of maturity, even when, patient has delivered early by more than 2 to 3 weeks, (7 days...
difference on either side of due date, is an acceptable variant).

One more point of consideration to be borne in mind is, 10 times inter-menstrual interval being the period of pregnancy, is applicable to a menstrual cycle that lasts 28 to 30 days or lesser. Cycles of duration shorter than 22 days were not included in this study, as this short length of cycles is very rare, and they usually are patients of subfertility.

Moreover, delivery before 220 days cannot be construed as a mature delivery by any count.

Cycles of longer duration than 30 days cannot be encompassed in this statement because, pregnancy of more than 300 days is a rarity in human species.

CONCLUSION

Average duration of menstrual cycle was taken and the corresponding gestational ages were determined by 10 times multiplying the inter-menstrual interval.

It turned out to be that, the women with menstrual cycle of 25 to 27 days had a term gestation at around 35 to 37 weeks. We had 26 such patients i.e.13% of our sample size (n=200).

The patients with menstrual cycle of 22 to 24 days had a term gestation at around 32 to 34 weeks. We had 13 such patients i.e. 6.5% of our sample size (n=200).

So, although 39 (19.5%) neonates were expected to be preterm, only 24 (12%) actually turned out to be preterm according to Ballard’s score. Remaining 15 neonates, premature by conventional method of calculation, should be in “premature” group, turned out to be well developed, by Ballard’s score, almost 37.5% of early delivery group. This showed that the baby had attained maturity at a lesser gestational age which corresponded to 10 times the inter-menstrual interval.

The P value comes out to be 0.039503. This result is significant at P<0.05

So, the quotation in the Text Book of Medical Jurisprudence by Dr. Modi, wherein he has stated, ‘duration of pregnancy in homo homosapiens is 10 times the inter-menstrual interval’, is quiet helpful in abolishing the stigma of an anticipated pre-term delivery in minds of an obstetrician regarding a patient with a shorter inter-menstrual interval.
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